(9)

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 782/2005

PETITIONERS:-

1) Smt. Meena Bhagat
Aged about 42 years
W/O- A.K.Bhagat
R/O- Upper Division Teacher
Govt. Higher Secondary School
Dondi, Distt- Durg (C.G.)

P.R. No. 183/05
Presented by Shri Vivek Rathore
dated 25/04/05

2) Smt. Nirmala Thakur
Aged about 37 years
W/O- U.S. Thakur
R/O- Upper Division Teacher
Govt. Middle School, Dondi
Distt;- Durg (C.G.)

3) Smt. Silwanti Puri
Aged about 39 years
W/O- P. Puri
Upper Division Teacher
R/O-Kanya Ashram, Dondi
Distt:- Durg (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
25.4.05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

4) Smt. Kalyani Ekka
Aged about 38 years
W/O- A.P. Ekka
R/O- Upper Division Teacher
Govt. Higher Secondary School
Dondi, Distt- Durg (C.G.)

5) Anandi Kumar Ekka
Aged about 37 years
S/O- Alvius Ekka
R/O- Upper Division Teacher
Govt. High School Arajpuri
Distt:- Durg (C.G.)

VERSUS

Con…2

(5)

SINGLE BENCH

(2)

RESPONDENTS:- 1) State of Chhattisgarh Through : - Secretary, Schedule Tribes, Scheduled Caste and O.B.C. Welfare Department D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2) Divisional Deputy Director SC/ST. & O.B.C. Welfare Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

the cause title most respectfully

(38)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

(37)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP.No.1782/05 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	4-5-2005 Shri Y. C. Sharma, counsel for the petitioners. Shri Akhil Agrawal, P.L. for the State/respondents. Heard both the counsel. The case of the petitioners is that the petitioners were appointed in the year 1993 on the posts of U.D.T.. Initially they were appointed on probation for two years with a fixed salary of Rs.1400/-. After two years, the petitioners were regularized and given regular pay scale but probation period has not been counted for their seniority. Other teachers who were appointed with the petitioners are getting increments and seniority from the date of initial appointment. In the case of Harish Chandra Singh Vs. State of M.P. the State Administrative Tribunal directed to give increments to the teachers from the date of initial appointment. The petitioners made a request to the respondents for increments but the respondents are not considering the case of the petitioners. Counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2 and he be directed to decide the same to which counsel for respondents has no objection.	

(38)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and also looking to the limited request made by learned counsel for the petitioners which appears to be just and fair, the petitioners are allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2 within a period of 15 days from today and in turn respondent No.2 will decide the representation of the petitoners within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the representation after considering all the points raised by the petitoners in an objelctive manner in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, I.A. No.3397/2005 also stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Barve